

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,486	11/03/2003	Dae You Kim	8733.928.00-US	3246
30827	7590 12/13/2005		EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW			CHUNG, DAVID Y	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/698,486	KIM, DAE YOU	
Examiner	Art Unit	
David Y. Chung	2871	

	David Y. Chung	2871					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 23 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	of Appeal. To avoid at affidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	of the final rejection.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		INST NEPET WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com-	pliance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	a filad within two man	the of the data				
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal of	of the appeal.				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NC		because				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> (See 37 CFR 1.1		ejected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	* **	omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant / menament	(1 10L-02+).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendm	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below of appended.						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ul> <li>9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ul>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•	, , ,					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		oming to botow or attac					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 reciting that the second pads are adapted to be connected to at least one of the gate pads and data pads, and the addition of new claims 7-12 raise new issues requiring further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims as finally rejected were not allowable over the prior art.

ANDREW SCHECHTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER